

Docket No.: 201976US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/758,289 Applicants: Kouji YOSHIDA, et al.

• Filing Date: January 12, 2001

For: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR DATA CLASSIFICATION, SIGNAL PROCESSING, POSITION DETECTION, IMAGE PROCESSING,

AND EXPOSURE Group Art Unit: 2625 Examiner: Strege, J.B.

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

SURINDER SACHAR (703) 413-3000 SSACHAR@OBLON.COM

RECEIVED

FEB 0 3 2004

Technology Center 2800

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) I:\ATT\SNS\20's\201976\REST RESP CVR.DOC Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A. TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM



201976US2



#12/ Election mark

THE CONTED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Kouji YOSHIDA, et al.

EXAMINER: Strege, J.B.

SERIAL NO.: 09/758,289

FILED: January 12, 2001

GROUP ART UNIT: 2625

FOR: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR DATA CLASSIFICATION, SIGNAL PROCESSING, POSITION DETECTION, IMAGE PROCESSING, AND EXPOSURE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

RECEIVED

FEB 0 3 2004

Technology Center 2600

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction requirement of January 2, 2004, applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, the invention of group II and including claims 78-94, 111, 114, and 116.

Applicants traverse the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that it has not been established that it be an undue burden to examine each of the noted inventions and claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a restriction is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding restriction requirement has not established that examining each of the currently-pending claims together would result in an undue burden.

M.P.E.P. § 803 specifically states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.



The outstanding restriction requirement has not established that each of the claims could be examined without an undue burden, and thus each of the noted inventions and claims should be examined on their merits.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423 Attorneys of Record

22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413-2220

GJM/SNS/jrs

I:\ATTY\SNS\21's\218352\RESTRICT RESP.DOC